

**COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR EXPANDING MAPPINGS,  
WITH EXPANSION FACTOR CONTROLLED BY A NON DECREASING FUNCTION**

K. P. R. Sastry<sup>1</sup>, K. K. M. Sarma<sup>2</sup>, G. V. R. Babu<sup>3</sup> and P. H. Krishna\*<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>18-28-8/1, Tamil street, Chinna Waltair, Visakhapatnam - 530 017, India.

<sup>2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam - 530 003, India.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Viswanadha Institute of Technology and Management, Visakhapatnam - 531 173, India.

(Received On: 02-02-15; Revised & Accepted On: 23-02-15)

**ABSTRACT**

*In this paper, we prove expansion mapping theorems in metric spaces the expansion factor being controlled by a non-decreasing function using the concept of compatible maps, weakly reciprocal continuity, R- weakly commuting of type (A f) and (P).*

**Keywords:** Expansion mapping,  $\phi$ - weakly expansive mapping, compatible mapping, R- weakly commuting mapping, expanding maps, expansion factor.

**AMS (2010) Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25.

**1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES**

In 1922, Banach proved the Banach contraction principle. Many authors have extended, generalized the Banach contraction Principle in different ways. In 1992, Daffer and Kaneko [2] proved a fixed point theorem for expansive mappings.

**Definition 1.1:** Let  $f$  be a self -mapping of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $f$  is said to be expansive if there exists a real number  $h > 1$  such that

$$d(fx, fy) \geq h d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (1.1.1)$$

In 1997, Alber and Gurre - Delabriere [1] introduced the notion of  $\phi$ - weak contraction as follows.

**Definition 1.2:** [1] Let  $f$  be a self - mapping of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $f$  is said to be  $\phi$  - weak contraction if there exists a continuous mapping  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$  such that

$$d(fx, fy) \leq d(x, y) - \phi(d(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (1.2.1)$$

Recently S. M. Kang, M. Kumar, P.Kumar and S. Kumar [5] introduced  $\phi$ -weakly expansive mappings in metric spaces as follows.

**Definition 1.3:** [5] Let  $f$  be a self -mapping of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $f$  is said to be  $\phi$  - weakly expansive if there exists a continuous mapping  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(t) > t$  for all  $t > 0$  such that

$$d(fx, fy) \geq d(x, y) + \phi(d(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (1.3.1)$$

**Definition 1.4:** [5] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two self- mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $f$  is said to be  $\phi$  - weakly expansive with respect to  $g: X \rightarrow X$  if there exists a continuous mapping  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(t) > t$  for all  $t > 0$  such that

$$d(fx, fy) \geq d(gx, gy) + \phi(d(gx, gy)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X. \quad (1.4.1)$$

**\*Corresponding author: P. H. Krishna\*<sup>4</sup>**

In 1986, Jungck [4] defined the concept of compatible mappings.

**Definition 1.5:** [4] A pair  $(f, g)$  of self-mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is said to be compatible if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0, \text{ whenever } \{x_n\} \text{ is a sequence in } X \text{ such that}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(x_n) = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

In 1994, Pant [8] introduced the notion of point wise  $R$ - weak commutativity in metric spaces.

**Definition 1.6:** [8] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two self -mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are called  $R$ -weakly commuting on  $X$  if there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$d(fgx, gfx) \leq Rd(fx, gx) \text{ for all } x \in X. \quad (1.6.1)$$

It is obvious that  $R$ -weakly commuting mappings commute at their coincidence points and hence  $R$ -weak commutativity is equivalent to commutativity at coincidence points.

In 1997, Pathak *et al.* [12] generalized the notion of  $R$ -weakly commuting mappings to  $R$ -weakly commuting mappings of type  $(Ag)$  and of type  $(Af)$ .

**Definition 1.7:** [12] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two self -mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are called  $R$ -weakly commuting of type  $(Ag)$  if there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$d(ffx, gfx) \leq Rd(fx, gx) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Similarly, the two self -mappings  $f$  and  $g$  are called  $R$ -weakly commuting of type  $(Af)$  if there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $d(fgx, ggx) \leq Rd(fx, gx)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 1.8:** [12] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two self -mappings of a metric space  $(X, d)$ . Then  $f$  and  $g$  are called  $R$  -weakly commuting of type  $(P)$  if there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$d(ffx, ggx) \leq Rd(fx, gx) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

In 1998, [10] introduced a new continuity condition, known as reciprocal continuity and obtained a common fixed point theorem by using the compatibility in a metric space. The notion of reciprocal continuity is weaker than the continuity of one of the mappings.

**Definition 1.9:** [10] Two self mappings  $f$  and  $g$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  are called reciprocally continuous if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = gz$ , whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z$  for some  $z$  in  $X$ .

In 2011, Pant *et al.* [11] generalized the notion of reciprocal continuity to weak reciprocal continuity as follows.

**Definition 1.10:** [11] Two self mappings  $f$  and  $g$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  are called weakly reciprocally continuous if  $fgx_n = fz$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gf x_n = gz$  whenever  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z \text{ for some } z \text{ in } X.$$

In 1992, Daffer and Kaneko [2] proved the following fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1.11:** [2] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $f$  be a surjective self map and  $g$  be an injective self map of  $X$  which satisfy the following conditions: There exists a number  $q > 1$  such that

$$d(fx, fy) \geq q d(gx, gy) \text{ for each } x, y \text{ in } X,$$

then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

In 1993, B. E. Rhoades extended Theorem 1.11 to compatible mappings as follows.

**Theorem 1.12:** [13] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible self maps of  $X$  satisfying

- (i)  $gX \subseteq fX$ ;
- (ii) there exists  $q > 1$  such that  $d(fx, fy) \geq q d(gx, gy)$  for each  $x, y$  in  $X$ , and
- (iii)  $f$  is continuous.

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

In 2008, Kumar [6] generalized Theorem 1.12 to weakly compatible maps as follows.

**Theorem 1.13:** [6] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be weakly compatible self maps of  $X$  satisfying

- (i)  $gX \subseteq fX$ ;
- (ii) there exists  $q > 1$  such that  $d(fx, fy) \geq q d(gx, gy)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

If one of the subspaces  $gX$  or  $fX$  is complete, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

In 2012, S. Manro and P. Kumar [7] proved the following theorem, using the concept of compatibility and weak reciprocal continuity in complete metric spaces.

**Theorem 1.14:** [7] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two weakly reciprocally continuous self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying

- (i)  $gX \subseteq fX$ ;
- (ii) there exists  $q > 1$  such that  $d(fx, fy) \geq q d(gx, gy)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

If  $f$  and  $g$  are either compatible or  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(Ag)$  or,  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(Af)$  or  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(P)$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

Recently S. M. Kang *et al.* [5] generalized and extended Theorem 1.4 for  $\varphi$ - weakly expansive mappings as follows.

**Theorem 1.15:** [5] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two weakly reciprocally continuous self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying

- (i)  $gX \subseteq fX$ ;
- (ii) there exists a continuous mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(t) > t$  for all  $t > 0$  such that  $d(fx, fy) \geq d(gx, gy) + \varphi(d(gx, gy))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and if  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible, then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Theorem 1.16:** [5] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two weakly reciprocally continuous self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying

- (i)  $gX \subseteq fX$ ;
- (ii) there exists a continuous mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(t) > t$  for all  $t > 0$  such that  $d(fx, fy) \geq d(gx, gy) + \varphi(d(gx, gy))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and if  $f$  and  $g$  are  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(Ag)$  or,  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(Af)$  or  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(P)$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

We observe that in Theorem 1.15 and Theorem 1.16 the condition ' $\varphi(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ ' is unnecessary. Further, we obtain common fixed point theorems when  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing (but not necessarily continuous).

An example also is provided in support of our result.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

We begin with some definitions.

**Definition 2.1:** A function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a control function if

- (i)  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing and
- (ii)  $\varphi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ .

**Definition 2.2:** Suppose  $(X, d)$  is a metric space and  $f, g$  are two self maps on  $X$ . Suppose  $\varphi$  is a control function such that

$$d(fx, fy) \geq d(gx, gy) + \varphi(d(gx, gy)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then  $f$  is said to be expanding with respect to  $g$  with expansion factor  $\varphi(d(gx, gy))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Now we obtain conditions for the existence of a common fixed point for two self maps  $f$  and  $g$  on a complete metric space, when  $f$  is expanding with respect to  $g$ , the control function being  $\varphi$ .

The exact statement of the result is as follows.

**Theorem 2.3:** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two weakly reciprocally continuous self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying

- (i)  $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$ ;
- (ii) there exists a mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing and  $\varphi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$  and
 
$$d(fx, fy) \geq d(gx, gy) + \varphi(d(gx, gy)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \quad (2.3.1)$$

If  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible,

Then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in X$ .

Since  $g(X) \subseteq f(X)$ , we can choose  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $gx_0 = fx_1$ .

In general we can choose  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $gx_n = fx_{n+1}$  for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{Write } y_n = gx_n = f x_{n+1} \quad (2.3.2)$$

If  $y_n = y_{n+1}$  for some  $n \in N$ , then we have  $gx_n = gx_{n+1}$  so that  $gx_n = fx_{n+1} = gx_{n+1}$ .

This implies that  $x_{n+1}$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

$$\text{Since } f \text{ and } g \text{ are compatible, we have } fgx_{n+1} = gfx_{n+1} \text{ so that } fgx_n = ggx_n \quad (2.3.3)$$

and hence  $gx_n$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Now, from (2.3.1), we have

$$d(fx_{n+1}, fgx_n) \geq d(gx_{n+1}, ggx_n) + \varphi(d(gx_{n+1}, ggx_n)) \quad (2.3.4)$$

$$\geq d(fx_{n+1}, fgx_n) + \varphi(d(fx_{n+1}, fgx_n)) \quad (2.3.5)$$

$$0 \geq \varphi(d(fx_{n+1}, fgx_n))$$

$$0 \geq \varphi(d(fx_{n+1}, fgx_n))$$

$$0 = d(fx_{n+1}, fgx_n)$$

$$0 = d(gx_n, fgx_n)$$

That implies  $gx_n = fgx_n$ .

Therefore  $gx_n$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

From (2.3.5) and (2.3.3), we have

$$0 \geq \varphi(d(gx_{n+1}, ggx_n))$$

therefore  $0 \geq \varphi(d(fx_{n+1}, fgx_n))$

therefore  $0 = d(fx_{n+1}, fgx_n)$

This implies  $fx_{n+1} = fgx_n$

$$fx_{n+1} = ggx_n$$

$gx_n = ggx_n$  and hence  $gx_n$  is a fixed point of  $g$ .

Therefore  $gx_n$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Hence we may assume that without loss of generality that  $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in N$

so that  $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) > 0$  for all  $n \in N$ .

From (2.3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_{n-1}) &= d(fx_{n+1}, fx_n) \\ &\geq d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n) + \varphi(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)) \\ &= d(y_{n+1}, y_n) + \varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) \\ &> d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.6)$$

Therefore  $d(y_{n+1}, y_n) < d(y_n, y_{n-1})$ .

Thus the sequence  $\{d(y_{n+1}, y_n)\}$  is a strictly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, y_n)$  exists and it is  $r$  (say). *i.e.*,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, y_n) = r \geq 0$ . (2.3.7)

Now  $d(y_{n+1}, y_n) < d(y_n, y_{n-1})$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing we have  $\varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) \leq \varphi(d(y_n, y_{n-1}))$ .

Therefore the sequence  $\varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n))$  is a decreasing sequence of nonnegative real's and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n))$  exists and it is  $s$  (say).

*i.e.*,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) = s \geq 0$ . (2.3.8)

We now show that  $r = 0$ .

From (2.3.6), we have  $d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \geq d(y_{n+1}, y_n) + \varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n))$ .

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , from (2.3.7) and (2.3.8) we get  $r \geq r + s$ , so that  $s = 0$ .

Now  $r \leq d(y_{n+1}, y_n)$ .

Since  $\varphi$  is non- decreasing we have  $\varphi(r) \leq \varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n))$  so that  $\varphi(r) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(y_{n+1}, y_n)) = s = 0$ .

That implies  $\varphi(r) = 0$  so that  $r = 0$ . *i.e.*,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, y_n) = 0$

Now, we show that  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy.

Suppose that  $\{y_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  for which we can find sequences of positive integers  $\{m(k)\}$  and  $\{n(k)\}$  with  $n(k) > m(k) > k$  and  $d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) > \varepsilon$  and  $d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)-1}) \leq \varepsilon$ .

The following identities can be established.

(i)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) = \varepsilon$ , (ii)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}) = \varepsilon$ ,

Hence  $d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  for large  $k$  (2.3.9)

$d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}) = d(fx_{m(k)}, fx_{n(k)})$

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{m(k)-1}, y_{n(k)-1}) &= d(f(x_{m(k)}), f(x_{n(k)})) \\ &\geq d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)}) + \varphi(d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{n(k)})) \\ &= d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) + \varphi(d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)})) \\ &\geq d(y_{m(k)}, y_{n(k)}) + \varphi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \text{ (by (2.3.9))} \end{aligned}$$

On letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon + \varphi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)$  that implies  $\varphi\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = 0$  so that  $\varepsilon = 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Since  $X$  is complete, there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$ .

Then by (2.3.2), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_{n+1} = z$ .

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are compatible mappings, we have,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, gfx_n) = 0$  (2.3.10)

Also, by the weak reciprocal continuity of  $f$  and  $g$ .

We have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = gz$ .

Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz$ .

From (2.3.10)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, gfx_n) = 0$ , so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = fz$ .

Now, we claim that  $fz = gz$ .

Let  $fz \neq gz$ .

From (2.3.2),  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = fz$ .

By (2.3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, fgxn) &\geq d(gz, ggx_n) + \varphi(d(gz, ggx_n)) \\ &\geq d(gz, ggxn). \end{aligned}$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$d(fz, fz) \geq d(gz, fz)$$

that implies  $0 \geq d(gz, fz)$ .

Hence  $fz = gz$ .

Therefore  $z$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Since  $fz = gz$ , by the compatibility of  $f$  and  $g$  we have  $fgz = gfx = ggz$ .

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz, ggz) &= d(fz, fgz) \\ &\geq d(gz, ggz) + \varphi(d(gz, ggz)) \\ 0 &\geq \varphi(d(gz, ggz)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $0 = d(gz, ggz)$ .

Therefore  $gz = ggz$  and hence  $gz$  is a fixed point of  $g$ .

Also we have  $gz = ggz = fgz$  so that  $gz = fgz$

and hence  $gz$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Therefore  $gz$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

When  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = gz$ , we can prove the result in a similar way.

### **Uniqueness**

Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two common fixed points of  $f$  and  $g$ .

From (2.3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &= d(fu, fv) \\ &\geq d(gu, gv) + \varphi(d(gu, gv)) \\ &= d(u, v) + \varphi(d(u, v)) \\ 0 &= \varphi(d(u, v)) \end{aligned}$$

so that  $d(u, v) = 0$  and hence  $u = v$ .

Therefore  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point.

Now, we prove a common fixed point theorem for a  $R$ - weakly Commuting of type  $(Af)$  or of type  $P$ .

**Theorem 2.4:** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two weakly reciprocally continuous self mappings of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying

- (i)  $gX \subseteq fX$
- (ii) there exists a mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing and  $\varphi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$  and
- (iii)  $d(fx, fy) \geq d(gx, gy) + \varphi(d(gx, gy))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . (2.4.1)

If  $f$  and  $g$  are  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(Af)$  or  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(P)$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  have a unique common fixed point..

**Proof:** Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be as in Theorem 2.3. Again from the proof of Theorem 2.3 it follows that,  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Since  $X$  is complete, there exists a point  $z \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = z$ .

Then by (2.3.2), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_{n+1} = z.$$

Now, suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(Af)$ .

Then we have  $d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$  for all  $x_n \in X$ . (2.4.2)

Now, from the weak reciprocal continuity of  $f$  and  $g$ , we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = gz$ .

Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz$ .

From (2.4.2), we have  $d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$ .

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ggx_n) \leq R \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, gx_n) = 0$$

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = fz$ .

Now, we claim that  $fz = gz$ .

Let  $fz \neq gz$ . By (2.4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, fgx_n) &\geq d(gz, ggx_n) + \varphi(d(gz, ggx_n)) \\ &\geq d(gz, ggx_n) \end{aligned}$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, fz) &\geq d(gz, fz) \\ 0 &\geq d(gz, fz). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $gz = fz$ .

Therefore  $z$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Again by  $R$ - weak commutativity of type  $(Af)$ , we have

$$d(fgz, ggz) \leq Rd(gz, fz) = 0.$$

Therefore  $fgz = ggz$ .

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz, ggz) &= d(fz, fgz) \\ &\geq d(gz, ggz) + \varphi(d(gz, ggz)) \\ &0 \geq \varphi(d(gz, ggz)) \\ &0 = d(gz, ggz) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $gz = ggz$  and hence  $gz$  is a fixed point of  $g$ .

Also we have  $gz = ggz = fgz$  which implies that  $gz = fgz$  and hence  $gz$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Therefore  $gz$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Similarly, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gf x_n = gz$ , we get that  $f$  and  $g$  have common fixed point.

Now, suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are  $R$ - weakly commuting of type  $(P)$ .

Then we have  $d(ffx_n, ggx_n) \leq Rd(fx_n, gx_n)$  for all  $x_n \in X$ . (2.4.3)

Again, by the weak reciprocal continuity of  $f$  and  $g$ ,

we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = gz$ .

Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fgx_n = fz$ .

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (ffx_n, ggx_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rd(fx_n, gx_n) = Rd(z, z) = 0$ .

Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ffx_n, ggx_n) = 0$ .

Using (2.3.2), we have  $f gx_{n-1} = ff x_n \rightarrow fz$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fz, ggx_n) = 0$  that implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ggx_n = fz$ .

Now, we claim that  $fz = gz$ .

Let  $fz \neq gz$ .

By (2.4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, fgx_n) &\geq d(gz, ggx_n) + \varphi(d(gz, ggx_n)) \\ &\geq d(gz, ggx_n) \end{aligned}$$

On letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(fz, fz) &\geq d(gz, fz) \\ 0 &\geq d(gz, fz). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $gz = fz$ .

Therefore  $z$  is a coincidence point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Again by  $R$ - weak commutativity of type  $(P)$ , we have  $d(fgz, ggz) \leq Rd(gz, fz) = 0$ .

Therefore  $fgz = ggz$ .

Therefore  $ffz = fgz = ggz$ .

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} d(gz, ggz) &= d(fz, fgz) \\ &\geq d(gz, ggz) + \varphi(d(gz, ggz)) \\ 0 &\geq \varphi(d(gz, ggz)) \\ 0 &= d(gz, ggz) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $gz = ggz$  and hence  $gz$  is a fixed point of  $g$ .

Also we have  $gz = ggz = fgz$ . Thus  $gz = fgz$  and hence  $gz$  is a fixed point of  $f$ .

Therefore  $gz$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $g$ .

Similarly, if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = gz$ , we can easily prove that  $f$  and  $g$  have common fixed point.

Uniqueness follows as in Theorem 2.3.

In Theorem 2.3, if  $g$  is the identity mapping, then we obtain the following.



**Theorem 2.5:** Let  $f$  be a surjective self mapping of a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  satisfying

- (i) there exists a mapping  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi$  is non-decreasing and  $\varphi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$  and  
(ii)  $d(fx, fy) \geq d(x, y) + \varphi(d(x, y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  (2.5.1)

Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point.

The following is a supporting example of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4.

Here  $\varphi$  non-decreasing but is neither continuous nor satisfies the Condition:  $\varphi(t) > t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**Example 2.6:** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  be endowed with the usual metric.

We define  $f, g: X \rightarrow X$  by  $fx = \frac{x}{2}$  and  $gx = \frac{x}{4}$  and define  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{4} \\ 2t & \text{if } t > \frac{1}{4} \end{cases}$

Then  $g(X) = [0, \frac{1}{4}] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{2}] = f(X)$ .

$$d(fx, fy) = \left| \frac{x-y}{2} \right|$$

$$d(gx, gy) = \left| \frac{x-y}{4} \right|$$

$$\varphi(d(gx, gy)) = \left| \frac{x-y}{4} \right|$$

$\left| \frac{x-y}{2} \right| = d(fx, fy) \geq d(gx, gy) + \varphi(d(gx, gy)) = \left| \frac{x-y}{4} \right|$  holds for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy all the conditions of

Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 and 0 is the unique fixed point.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Y. A. Alber, S. Gurre- Delabriere, Principle of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces, New results in operator theory and its applications, In: Oper. Theory Adv., Vol. 98, Birkhauser, Switzerland (1997), 7-22, doi: 10.1007/978-3- 0348-8910-0-2.
- [2] Z. P. Daffer and H. Kaneko, On expansive mappings, Math. Japon. 37(1992), 733-735.
- [3] G. Jungck, Commuting mappings and fixed points, Amer. Math. Monthly, 83, No.4 (1976), 261-263, doi: 10.2307/2318216.
- [4] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., 9, No. 4 (1986), 771-779, doi: 10.1155/ S0161171286000935.
- [5] S. M. Kang, M. Kumar, P. Kumar and S.umar, Fixed point theorems for  $\varphi$ - weakly expansive mappings in metric spaces, Int. J. Pure and App Math., Volume 90, No. 2 (2014), 143-152., doi: 10.123732/ ijpam.v90i2.4.
- [6] S. Kumar, S.K. Garg, Expansion mappings theorems in metric spaces, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci., 4, No. 36 (2009), 1749-1758. 1, 1.13.
- [7] S. Manro, P. Kumam, Common fixed point theorems for expansion mappings in various abstract spaces using the concept of weak reciprocal continuity, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2012, No. 221 (2012), 12 pages, doi: 10.1186/1687- 1812-2012-221. 1, 1.14.
- [8] R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of non-commuting mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 188, No. 2 (1994), 436-440, doi: 10.1006/jmaa.1994.1437. 1, 1.6
- [9] R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of four mappings, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 90, No. 4 (1998), 281-286.
- [10] R.P. Pant, A common fixed point theorem under a new condition, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 30, No. 2 (1999), 147-152.
- [11] R.P. Pant, R.K. Bisht, D. Arora, Weak reciprocal continuity and fixed point theorems, Ann. Univ. Ferrara, 57, No. 1 (2011), 181-190, doi: 10.1007/s11565- 011-0119-3.
- [12] H.K. Pathak, Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang, Remarks of  $R$ -weakly commuting mappings and common fixed point theorems, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 34, No. 2 (1997), 247-257.
- [13] B. E. Rhoades, An expansion mapping theorem, Jnanabha 23(1993), 151-152.

**Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared**